





## Ottawa Health Science Network Research Ethics Board (OHSN-REB) / Conseil d'éthique de la recherche du réseau de science de la santé d'Ottawa (CÉR-RSSO)

## **Instructions for Secondary Use Applications**

**Secondary Use research** refers to the use of information and/or human biological materials originally collected for a purpose other than the current research purpose (i.e.: use of data and/or samples that already exist). The OHSN-REB Secondary Use application contains 2 options for this type of research:

- 1. <u>Retrospective</u> research on human data/records (example: Retrospective chart review)
  - In order for a study to be considered retrospective, the end date of data collection must be prior to the date of <u>submission</u> to the REB. *Not to be confused with the dates the study team will physically be accessing the records but rather, the dates on the records that will be reviewed.*
- 2. <u>Retrospective</u> research on human samples (example: Secondary use of biobank samples)
  - In order for a study to be considered retrospective, the end date of sample collection must be prior to the date of <u>submission</u> to the REB. *Not to be confused with the dates the study team will physically be accessing the samples but rather, the dates on the samples that will be analyzed.*

## FOLLOWING THESE INSTRUCTIONS PRIOR TO SUBMISSION WILL ENSURE A TIMELY REB REVIEW PROCESS:

- For assistance in setting up your study, contact your institution's Clinical Research Administration team; they can provide guidance from pre-grant submission to study close-out.
- Ensure <u>all members</u> of the research team have the applicable training (TCPS 2, PHIPA, etc.) required for the specific project and are familiar with the institution's policies (SOPs, Privacy, IT, etc.).
- Ensure the person completing the REB application has a <u>complete</u> understanding of the study from **beginning to end.** They must be able to:
  - ✓ Ensure the REB application aligns with the Protocol.
  - ✓ List all data/sample sources
  - ✓ Detail what confidential information will be collected/stored, what is leaving the institution, where it is going, why it is going and how it will be sent.
- Principal Investigator <u>must</u> review the REB application for completeness and accuracy.
  - The REB will not review incomplete or incoherent applications. Research team members responsible for REB correspondence must ensure the completed application is reviewed by the investigator prior to sign-off and submission. If the application is not ready for review, a corrected or, in some cases, a brand-new application will be required after research staff have been appropriately trained on the Protocol and/or REB application process.
- Assess whether a huddle with the REB could be beneficial. The REB Office holds huddles to discuss REB submissions; you can request a huddle by contacting <u>REBAdministration@ohri.ca</u>.
  - Note, upon review, the REB Office may determine a huddle is <u>required</u> if clarification is needed and/or if the application is of poor quality. Should a collaborative discussion be required, the Contracts Officer, Facilitators, Privacy Office, IT, and/or Director of Clinical and Research Administration may also be invited to the huddle.



The REB will **conduct a preliminary review** which consists of screening the application for completeness prior to review. Following submission, research team members listed on the REB application must be responsive to requests for clarification to address the Research Ethics Coordinator's (REC) preliminary concerns.

- If preliminary concerns are issued, research teams will be notified via an email with instructions and a deadline by which to respond.
- If the study team is not able to make the requested edits within the time frame provided by the REB, the application may need to be withdrawn.

## **Process Map for Secondary Use Applications**



Facilitation, Privacy, IT, etc. can also be arranged.